

RESSH 2019: venue



UNIVERSITAT POLITÈCNICA DE VALÈNCIA
Ciudad Politécnica de la Innovación

Red Cube [Assembly Hall]
INGENIO
Camino de Vera, s/n
46022 Valencia · Spain

RESSH·2019

Research Evaluation in the
social sciences & humanities

THE THIRD RESEARCH EVALUATION IN THE SSH CONFERENCE

VALENCIA

19 · 20 SEPTEMBER 2019



UNIVERSITAT
POLITÈCNICA
DE VALÈNCIA

The development of research evaluation protocols and performance-based funding systems is rooted in policy decisions aiming to improve research and the research system as a whole. However, the implementation of such policies has attracted a great deal of criticism, especially from the SSH.

The purpose of the RESSH conference is to explore whether evaluation can be useful to SSH researchers, whether it seeks to improve the quality and relevance of research or whether it is simply a management tool for the allocation of funds or imposition of policy.

Organizing Committee

Local Organizing Committee

- Jordi Molas-Gallart
- Elena Castro-Martínez
- Elea Giménez-Toledo
- Julia Olmos-Peñuela

Programme Committee

- Ioana Galleron
- Michael Ochsner
- Geoffrey Williams

Scientific Committee

Chairs: Jordi Molas-Gallart — Elea Giménez-Toledo

- | | | |
|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| • Paul Benneworth | • Tim Engels | • Ioana Galleron |
| • Raf Guns | • Emanuel Kulczycki | • Michael Ochsner |
| • Thed Van Leeuwen | • Ismael Rafols | • Elías Sanz-Casado |
| • Jolanta Sinkuniene | • Gunnar Sivertsen | • Jack Spaapen |
| • Mimi Urbanc | • Geoffrey Williams | • Alesia Zuccala |



<https://ressh2019.webs.upv.es>

Thursday, September, 19

08.45 - 09.15 Registration. *[Red Cube hall, 3rd floor]*

09.15 - 10.00 Welcome and opening by the president of EvalHum, Geoffrey Williams. *[Assembly Hall]*

10.00 - 11.00 Keynote: Professor Diana Hicks, "What does open science entail for the Social Sciences and Humanities?" *[Assembly Hall]*

11.00 - 11.30 Coffee break. *[Purple Cube hall]*

11.30 - 12.30 **SESSION 1.1** *[Descubre Room]*

Special session. Careers and Research Evaluation Systems for societal impact (1)

Chair. Paul Benneworth

- Conceptualising and characterising mechanisms for Grimpact. *Gemma Derrick, Rita Faria, Paul Benneworth, David Budtz-Petersen and Gunnar Sivertsen.*
- Open research behaviour in management studies: an ideal honoured more in the breach than in the observance. *Julia Olmos-Peñuela, Inma Aleixos-Borras, Paul Benneworth and Ignacio Fernández-de-Lucio.*
- Steering effects of research evaluation on SSH early career researchers. *Paul Benneworth, Julia Olmos-Peñuela and Elena Castro-Martinez.*

SESSION 1.2 *[Aprende Room]*

Research Cultures.

Chair. Jack Spaapen

- How reporting requirements can shape research activities. *Agné Girkontaitė and Michael Ochsner.*

- Evaluation games as the resistance: Towards a framework for research evaluation studies. *Emanuel Kulczycki.*

- Towards Ethical Principles of Research Evaluation in SSH. *Aldis Gedutis and Maria Teresa Biagetti.*

12.30 - 13.30 **SESSION 2.1** *[Descubre Room]*

Special session. Careers and Research Evaluation Systems for societal impact (2)

Chair. Paul Benneworth

- *Professional factors affecting career and engagement success for Early Career Researchers.* *Julia Olmos-Peñuela and Paul Benneworth.*
- Early Career Researchers and Societal Impact: Motivations and Structural Barriers. *Corina Balaban, Marta Wróblewska and Paul Benneworth.*
- Hurdles in the Research Impact Ecosystem: Power and Agency for Early Career Investigators. *Eleanore Good, Rita Faria and Paul Benneworth.*

SESSION 2.2 *[Aprende Room]*

Diversity in publishing

Chair. Janne Pölonen

- Dominant language of researchers across fields. *Przemyslaw Korytkowski and Emanuel Kulczycki*
- Boosting Open Access books in Spanish & contributing to the multilingualism in the Open Access space. *Elea Giménez-Toledo and Jorge Mañana-Rodríguez.*
- Does impact have gender? Gendered definitions and framings of impact in social sciences and humanities. *Karolina Lendák - Kabók, Marc Vanholsbeeck and Alexis Dewaele.*

- Gender differences in journal publishing in the social sciences and humanities. *Raf Guns, Emanuel Kulczycki, Alesia Zuccala, Kasper Bruun, Tim Engels, Olli Eskola, Andreja Istenič Starčič, Michal Petr, Janne Pölonen and Gunnar Sivertsen.*

13.30 - 15.00 Lunch. *[Purple Cube hall, 3rd floor]*

15.00 - 17.00 **SESSION 3.1** *[Descubre Room]*

Societal impact. Science and society

Chair. Jordi Molas

- A cartography of philosophy's engagement with society. *Diana Hicks and Britt Holbrook.*
- Exploring Notions of Impact in the Humanities. *Lai Ma.*
- Diversity in impact conceptualization and engagement: accounting for social, epistemic and local contexts within the social sciences and humanities. *Marc Vanholsbeeck, Karolina Lendák - Kabók and Alexis Dewaele.*
- Evaluating academic research at the crossroads of academic and societal demands. *Nelleke Van den Broek Honingh, Ad Prins and Jack Spaapen.*
- How evaluation can contribute producing social impact in social science research. *Emanuela Reale.*

SESSION 3.2 *[Aprende Room]*

Academic publishing. Internationalization & metrics

Chair. Ismael Rafols

- International visibility and impact of national journals. A comparative study of Spanish and Italian legal journals. *Rafael Alexandre Benavet, Ginevra Peruginelli, Daniela de Filippo and Elias Sanz Casado.*

- Using Google Scholar and LexisNexis to compile citation profiles for South African journals in legal research: An exploratory study. *Nelius Boshoff.*

- Coverage of journal articles in social sciences and humanities in Web of Science and their distribution in citation indexes. *Michal Petr, Tim C.E. Engels, Emanuel Kulczycki, Marta Dušková, Raf Guns, Monika Sieberová and Gunnar Sivertsen.*

SESSION 3.3 *[Debate Room]*

Careers and early career researchers

Chair. Pedro Marques

Survival strategies of economists and political scientists in contemporary academia. *Dorte Drongstrup.*

- Information ecosystems in early academic career building: how do researchers in the social sciences and humanities learn the tricks of the trade? *Marc Vanholsbeeck, Jolanta Sinkuniene, Karolina Lendák - Kabók and Haris Gekić.*
- Early Career Investigators' Views on Evaluation. *Reetta Muhonen, Stefan De Jong and Nataša Jermen.*
- Early Career Researchers' experiences with impact encouragement and support: insights from across Europe. *Reetta Muhonen, Stefan De Jong and Nataša Jermen.*
- Any Publicity Good Publicity? The Effect of Satirical Bias on Twitter and the Altmetrics Attention Score. *Arto Lanamäki, Muhammad Usman Ahmad and Michael Ochsner.*

Friday, September, 20

09.00 - 10.30 **SESSION 4.1** *[Descubre Room]*

Societal impact. Science and Society

Chair. Stefan de Jong

- SSH knowledge transfer activities included in the Technological and Social Development Projects (PDTs) of Argentina. Is changing researcher's evaluation enough to improve knowledge transference? *Mauro Alonso.*
- Does knowledge transfer occur in action research? *Joaquin M. Azagra-Caro and Alejandra Boni.*
- Use me when you need me: firms' co-creation output with universities and the economic cycle. *Ana M. Gómez - Aguayo and Joaquin M. Azagra-Caro.*

SESSION 4.2 *[Aprende Room]*

Peer review

Chair. Emanuel Kulczycki

- Decolonising the social sciences and humanities through peer review. *Tony Ross-Hellauer and Gemma Derrick.*
- Innovating the peer review process: A publisher's ethnography. *Serge Horbach and Willem Halfman.*
- Criteria for Peer Review of Manuscripts and Grant Proposals: a systematic literature review. *Sven E. Hug, Marek Holowicki, Lai Ma and Michael Ochsner.*

10.30 - 11.00 Coffee break.

11.00 - 12.30 **SESSION 5.1** *[Descubre Room]*

Societal impact. Science and society

Chair. Gemma Derrick

- From interactions to conditions: Toward evaluating university impact strategies. *Stefan de Jong.*
- Fiction lagging behind or non-fiction defending the indefensible? University-industry (et al.) interaction in science fiction. *Joaquin M. Azagra-Caro, Laura González-Salmerón and Pedro Marques.*
- How can higher education institutions foster societal relevance for development? *Andiswa Mfengu.*
- The Sustainable Development Goals, Capabilities and the Societal Impact of the Humanities. *Eiríkur Smári Sigurðarson.*

SESSION 5.2 *[Aprende Room]*

National evaluation exercises

Chair. Ginevra Peruginelli

- Impact Assessment of Research Evaluation: The Bulgarian Case. *Albena Vutsova, Todor Hristov and Martina Arabadzhieva.*
- National evaluation exercises as implementation of research policy. A comparative study of Norway and Portugal. *Jon Holm and Ana Ramos.*
- Impact in and of the Academic Humanities: Lessons We Might Learn from a History of Public Cultural Policy in England. *Zoe Bulaitis.*

12.30 - 13.45 Lunch. *[Purple Cube hall]*

13.45 - 14.45 **Round table** *[Assembly Hall]*

Research Evaluation and the SSH: Is a common strategy possible?

Chair. Dagmar Simon

Participants. Ana Ramos, Elea Giménez-Toledo, Ginevra Peruginelli, Jon Holm and Michael Ochsner

15.30 - 15.45 **General Assembly of EvalHum**

EvalHum and ENRESSH, where do we go? *[Assembly Hall]*

RESSH 2019. Concluding remarks. *[Assembly Hall]*

Purple Cube hall. 3rd floor

Assembly Hall. Red Cube, 3rd floor

Descubre Room. Red Cube, 4th floor

Aprende Room. Red Cube, 4th floor

Debate Room. Red Cube, 4th floor



UNIVERSITAT
POLITECNICA
DE VALÈNCIA